

Continuous time Principal Agent and optimal planning

Nizar Touzi

Ecole Polytechnique, France

Nicole 3×25, May 23, 2019

(Static) Principal-Agent Problem

- Principal delegates management of output process X ,
only observes X
- Agent devotes effort $a \implies X^a$, chooses optimal effort by

$$V_A := \max_a \mathbb{E} U_A(\quad - c(a))$$

(Static) Principal-Agent Problem

- Principal delegates management of **output process X** ,
only observes X
pays salary defined by **contract $\xi(X)$**
- Agent devotes **effort a** $\implies X^a$, chooses optimal effort by

$$V_A(\xi) := \max_a \mathbb{E} U_A(\xi(X^a) - c(a)) \implies \hat{a}(\xi)$$

- Principal chooses optimal contract by solving

$$\max_{\xi} \mathbb{E} U_P(X^{\hat{a}(\xi)} - \xi(X^{\hat{a}(\xi)})) \quad \text{under constraint} \quad V_A(\xi) \geq \rho$$

\implies **Non-zero sum Stackelberg game**

(Static) Principal-Agent Problem

- Principal delegates management of **output process X** ,
only observes X
pays salary defined by **contract $\xi(X)$**
- Agent devotes **effort a** $\implies X^a$, chooses optimal effort by

$$V_A(\xi) := \max_a \mathbb{E} U_A(\xi(X^a) - c(a)) \implies \hat{a}(\xi)$$

- Principal chooses optimal contract by solving

$$\max_{\xi} \mathbb{E} U_P(X^{\hat{a}(\xi)} - \xi(X^{\hat{a}(\xi)})) \quad \text{under constraint} \quad V_A(\xi) \geq \rho$$

\implies Non-zero sum Stackelberg game

(Static) Principal-Agent Problem

- Principal delegates management of **output process X** ,
only observes X
pays salary defined by **contract $\xi(X)$**
- Agent devotes **effort a** $\implies X^a$, chooses optimal effort by

$$V_A(\xi) := \max_a \mathbb{E} U_A(\xi(X^a) - c(a)) \implies \hat{a}(\xi)$$

- Principal chooses optimal contract by solving

$$\max_{\xi} \mathbb{E} U_P(X^{\hat{a}(\xi)} - \xi(X^{\hat{a}(\xi)})) \quad \text{under constraint} \quad V_A(\xi) \geq \rho$$

\implies **Non-zero sum Stackelberg game**

(Static) Principal-Agent Problem \implies Continuous time

- Principal delegates management of output process X ,
only observes X
pays salary defined by contract $\xi(X)$
- Agent devotes effort $a \implies X^a$, chooses optimal effort by

$$V_A(\xi) := \max_a \mathbb{E} U_A(\xi(X^a) - c(a)) \implies \hat{a}(\xi)$$

- Principal chooses optimal contract by solving

$$\max_{\xi} \mathbb{E} U_P(X^{\hat{a}(\xi)} - \xi(X^{\hat{a}(\xi)})) \quad \text{under constraint} \quad V_A(\xi) \geq \rho$$

\implies Non-zero sum Stackelberg game

Principal-Agent problem formulation

Contract $\mathbf{C} = (\tau, \pi, \xi)$

τ \mathbb{F} -stopping time, π \mathbb{F} -adapted, and ξ \mathcal{F}_τ -mble

Agent problem

$$V_0^A(\mathbf{C}) := \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\xi(X) + \int_0^\tau (\pi_t - c_t(\nu_t)) dt \right]$$

$\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$: **weak solution** of **Output** process :

$$dX_t = b_t(X, \nu_t)dt + \sigma_t(X, \nu_t)dW_t^{\mathbb{P}} \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}$$

for some ν valued in U

Principal problem choose among acceptable contracts

$$\Xi_\rho := \{\mathbf{C} : V_0^A(\mathbf{C}) \geq \rho\}$$

best contract, given Agent's optimal response $\mathbb{P}^*(\mathbf{C})$

$$V_0^P := \sup_{\mathbf{C} \in \Xi_\rho} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^*(\mathbf{C})} \left[U(\ell(X)) - \xi(X) - \int_0^\tau \pi_t(X) dt \right]$$



Principal-Agent problem formulation

Contract $\mathbf{C} = (\tau, \pi, \xi)$

τ \mathbb{F} -stopping time, π \mathbb{F} -adapted, and ξ \mathcal{F}_τ -mble

Agent problem

$$V_0^A(\mathbf{C}) := \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\xi(X) + \int_0^\tau (\pi_t - c_t(\nu_t)) dt \right]$$

$\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$: **weak solution** of **Output** process :

$$dX_t = b_t(X, \nu_t)dt + \sigma_t(X, \nu_t)dW_t^{\mathbb{P}} \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}$$

for some ν valued in U

Principal problem choose among acceptable contracts

$$\Xi_\rho := \{\mathbf{C} : V_0^A(\mathbf{C}) \geq \rho\}$$

best contract, given Agent's optimal response $\mathbb{P}^*(\mathbf{C})$

$$V_0^P := \sup_{\mathbf{C} \in \Xi_\rho} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^*(\mathbf{C})} \left[U(\ell(X)) - \xi(X) - \int_0^\tau \pi_t(X) dt \right]$$



Principal-Agent problem formulation

Contract $\mathbf{C} = (\tau, \pi, \xi)$

τ \mathbb{F} -stopping time, π \mathbb{F} -adapted, and ξ \mathcal{F}_τ -mble

Agent problem

$$V_0^A(\mathbf{C}) := \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\xi(X) + \int_0^\tau (\pi_t - c_t(\nu_t)) dt \right]$$

$\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$: **weak solution** of **Output** process :

$$dX_t = \sigma_t(X, \nu_t) (\lambda_t(X, \nu_t) dt + dW_t^{\mathbb{P}}) \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}$$

for some ν valued in U

Principal problem choose among acceptable contracts

$$\Xi_\rho := \{ \mathbf{C} : V_0^A(\mathbf{C}) \geq \rho \}$$

best contract, given Agent's optimal response $\mathbb{P}^*(\mathbf{C})$

$$V_0^P := \sup_{\mathbf{C} \in \Xi_\rho} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^*(\mathbf{C})} \left[U(\ell(X)) - \xi(X) - \int_0^\tau \pi_t(X) dt \right]$$



A general solution approach

- Path-dependent Hamiltonian for the Agent problem :

$$H_t^\pi(\omega, z, \gamma) := \sup_{u \in U} \left\{ b_t(\omega, u) \cdot z + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top(\omega, u) : \gamma + \pi_t(\omega) - c_t(\omega, u) \right\}$$

- For $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z, \Gamma \mathbb{F}^X$ – prog meas, define \mathbb{P} –a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

$$Y_t^{Z, \Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_s : d\langle X \rangle_s - H_s^\pi(X, Z_s, \Gamma_s) ds$$

Proposition

$V_A(\tau, \pi, Y_\tau^{Z, \Gamma}) = Y_0$. Moreover \mathbb{P}^* is optimal iff

$$\nu_t^* = \operatorname{Argmax}_{u \in U} H_t^\pi(Z_t, \Gamma_t) = \hat{\nu}(Z_t, \Gamma_t)$$

Proof classical verification argument in stochastic control

A general solution approach

- Path-dependent Hamiltonian for the Agent problem :

$$H_t^\pi(\omega, z, \gamma) := \sup_{u \in U} \left\{ b_t(\omega, u) \cdot z + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top(\omega, u) : \gamma + \pi_t(\omega) - c_t(\omega, u) \right\}$$

- For $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z, \Gamma \mathbb{F}^X - \text{prog meas}$, define \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

$$Y_t^{Z, \Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_s : d\langle X \rangle_s - H_s^\pi(X, Z_s, \Gamma_s) ds$$

Proposition

$V_A(\tau, \pi, Y_\tau^{Z, \Gamma}) = Y_0$. Moreover \mathbb{P}^* is optimal iff

$$\nu_t^* = \operatorname{Argmax}_{u \in U} H_t^\pi(Z_t, \Gamma_t) = \hat{\nu}(Z_t, \Gamma_t)$$

Proof classical verification argument in stochastic control

Principal problem restricted to revealing contracts

Dynamics of the pair (X, Y) under “optimal response”

$$dX_t = b_t(X, \hat{\nu}(Z_t, \Gamma_t))dt + \sigma_t(X, \hat{\nu}(Z_t, \Gamma_t))dW_t$$

$$dY_t^{Z, \Gamma} = Z_t \cdot dX_t + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_t : d\langle X \rangle_t - H_t^\pi(X, Z_t, \Gamma_t)dt$$

is a (1 state augmented) controlled SDE with controls (π, Z, Γ) \implies Principal's value function under revealing contracts :

$$V_P \geq V_0(X_0, Y_0) := \sup_{\substack{(\tau, \pi) \\ (Z, \Gamma) \in \mathcal{V}}} \mathbb{E} \left[U \left(\ell(X) - Y_\tau^{Z, \Gamma} - \int_0^\tau \pi_t dt \right) \right], \quad \forall Y_0 \geq \rho$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{V} := \left\{ (Z, \Gamma) : Z \in \mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{P}) \text{ and } \mathcal{P}^*(Y_T^{Z, \Gamma}) \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

Reduction to standard control problem

Theorem

Assume $\mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$V_0^P = \sup_{Y_0 \geq \rho} V_0(X_0, Y_0)$$

Given maximizer Y_0^* , the corresponding optimal controls $(\tau^*, \pi^*, Z^*, \Gamma^*)$ induce an optimal contract $\mathbf{C}^* = (\tau^*, \pi^*, \xi^*)$ with

$$\xi^* = Y_0^* + \int_0^T Z_t^* \cdot dX_t + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_t^* : d\langle X \rangle_t - H_t^{\pi^*}(X, Z_t^*, \Gamma_t^*) dt$$

Sannikov '08

Cvitanović, Possamaï & NT '15

Lin, Ren, NT & Yang '19

Comments on the theorem

Examples of volatility control problems

- Portfolio optimization

$$dV_t = \theta_t \cdot dS_t$$

- Demand-Response programs in electricity retail market

$$dX_t = \alpha_t dt + \beta_t \cdot dW_t$$

Open to many extensions

- agent may also choose optimally to quit (Sannikov)
- many agents, many principals under competition (Possamaï &...)
- Limited liability (Possamaï & Villeneuve)

Recall the subclass of contracts

$$Y_t^{Z,\Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_s : d\langle X \rangle_s - H_s(X, Y_s^{Z,\Gamma}, Z_s, \Gamma_s) ds$$

\mathbb{P} – a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

To prove the main result, it suffices to prove the **representation**

for all $\xi \in ??$ $\exists (Y_0, Z, \Gamma)$ s.t. $\xi = Y_T^{Z,\Gamma}$, \mathbb{P} – a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

OR, weaker sufficient condition :

for all $\xi \in ??$ $\exists (Y_0^n, Z^n, \Gamma^n)$ s.t. “ $Y_T^{Z^n, \Gamma^n} \rightarrow \xi$ ”

Recall the subclass of contracts

$$Y_t^{Z,\Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_s : d\langle X \rangle_s - H_s(X, Y_s^{Z,\Gamma}, Z_s, \Gamma_s) ds$$

\mathbb{P} – a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

To prove the main result, it suffices to prove the **representation**

for all $\xi \in ??$ $\exists (Y_0, Z, \Gamma)$ s.t. $\xi = Y_T^{Z,\Gamma}$, \mathbb{P} – a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

OR, weaker sufficient condition :

for all $\xi \in ??$ $\exists (Y_0^n, Z^n, \Gamma^n)$ s.t. “ $Y_T^{Z^n, \Gamma^n} \rightarrow \xi$ ”

Recall the subclass of contracts

$$Y_t^{Z,\Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_s : d\langle X \rangle_s - H_s(X, Y_s^{Z,\Gamma}, Z_s, \Gamma_s) ds$$

\mathbb{P} – a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

To prove the main result, it suffices to prove the **representation**

for all $\xi \in ??$ $\exists (Y_0, Z, \Gamma)$ s.t. $\xi = Y_T^{Z,\Gamma}$, \mathbb{P} – a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

OR, weaker sufficient condition :

for all $\xi \in ??$ $\exists (Y_0^n, Z^n, \Gamma^n)$ s.t. “ $Y_T^{Z^n, \Gamma^n} \rightarrow \xi$ ”

The uncontrolled volatility case

- Path-dependent Hamiltonian for the Agent problem :

$$H_t^\pi(\omega, z, \gamma) := \sup_{u \in U} \left\{ b_t(\omega, u) \cdot z + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top(\omega) : \gamma + \pi_t(\omega) - c_t(\omega, u) \right\}$$

- For $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z, \Gamma \mathbb{F}^X$ – prog meas, define

$$Y_t^{Z, \Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_s : d\langle X \rangle_s - H_s^\pi(X, Z_s, \Gamma_s) ds$$

\mathbb{P} –a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

The uncontrolled volatility case

- Path-dependent Hamiltonian for the Agent problem :

$$H_t^\pi(\omega, z, \gamma) := \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top(\omega) : \gamma + \sup_{u \in U} \left\{ b_t(\omega, u) \cdot z + \pi_t(\omega) - c_t(\omega, u) \right\}$$

- For $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z, \Gamma \mathbb{F}^X$ – prog meas, define

$$Y_t^{Z, \Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_s : d\langle X \rangle_s - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top : \gamma - H_s^\pi(X, Z_s, 0) ds$$

\mathbb{P} –a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

The uncontrolled volatility case

- Path-dependent Hamiltonian for the Agent problem :

$$H_t^\pi(\omega, z, \gamma) := \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top(\omega) : \gamma + \sup_{u \in U} \left\{ b_t(\omega, u) \cdot z + \pi_t(\omega) - c_t(\omega, u) \right\}$$

- For $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z, \Gamma \mathbb{F}^X$ – prog meas, define

$$Y_t^{Z, \Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s - H_s^\pi(X, Z_s, 0) ds$$

\mathbb{P} –a.s. for all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

The uncontrolled volatility case

- Path-dependent Hamiltonian for the Agent problem :

$$H_t^\pi(\omega, z, \gamma) := \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top(\omega) : \gamma + \sup_{u \in U} \left\{ b_t(\omega, u) \cdot z + \pi_t(\omega) - c_t(\omega, u) \right\}$$

- For $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z, \Gamma \mathbb{F}^X$ – prog meas, define

$$Y_t^{Z, \Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s - H_s^\pi(X, Z_s, 0) ds$$

\mathbb{P}_0 –a.s. for some $\mathbb{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}$

(\mathcal{P} dominated set of measures by Girsanov)

Representation problem reduces to

$$Y_\tau^{Z, 0} = \xi, \quad \mathbb{P}_0 - \text{a.s.} \quad \text{Backward SDE...} \quad \square$$

Pardoux & Peng '90, El Karoui, Peng & Quenez '96

The uncontrolled volatility case

- Path-dependent Hamiltonian for the Agent problem :

$$H_t^\pi(\omega, z, \gamma) := \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t \sigma_t^\top(\omega) : \gamma + \sup_{u \in U} \left\{ b_t(\omega, u) \cdot z + \pi_t(\omega) - c_t(\omega, u) \right\}$$

- For $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z, \Gamma \mathbb{F}^X$ – prog meas, define

$$Y_t^{Z, \Gamma} = Y_0 + \int_0^t Z_s \cdot dX_s - H_s^\pi(X, Z_s, 0) ds$$

\mathbb{P}_0 –a.s. for some $\mathbb{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}$

(\mathcal{P} dominated set of measures by Girsanov)

Representation problem reduces to

$$Y_\tau^{Z, 0} = \xi, \quad \mathbb{P}_0 - \text{a.s.} \quad \text{Backward SDE...} \quad \square$$

Pardoux & Peng '90, El Karoui, Peng & Quenez '96

The controlled volatility case

- $H_t(\omega, y, z, \gamma)$ non-decreasing and convex in γ , the

$$H_t(\omega, y, z, \gamma) = \sup_{\sigma} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 : \gamma - H_t^*(\omega, y, z, \sigma) \right\}$$

- Let $\hat{\sigma}_t^2 := \frac{d\langle X \rangle}{dt}$, and introduce

$$k_t := H_t(Y_t, Z_t, \Gamma_t) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_t^2 : \Gamma_t + H_t^*(Y_t, Z_t, \hat{\sigma}_t) : \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad " \inf_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} k_t = 0 "$$

Then, required representation $\xi = Y_{\tau}^{Z, \Gamma}$, \mathcal{P} -q.s. is **equivalent to**

$$\xi = Y_0 + \int_0^{\tau} Z_t \cdot dX_t + H_t^*(Y_t, Z_t, \hat{\sigma}_t) dt - \int_0^{\tau} k_t dt, \quad \mathcal{P} - \text{q.s.}$$

\Rightarrow 2BSDE up to approximation of nondecreasing process $K = \int_0^{\cdot} k_t dt$.

The controlled volatility case

- $H_t(\omega, y, z, \gamma)$ non-decreasing and convex in γ , the

$$H_t(\omega, y, z, \gamma) = \sup_{\sigma} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 : \gamma - H_t^*(\omega, y, z, \sigma) \right\}$$

- Let $\hat{\sigma}_t^2 := \frac{d\langle X \rangle}{dt}$, and introduce

$$k_t := H_t(Y_t, Z_t, \Gamma_t) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_t^2 : \Gamma_t + H_t^*(Y_t, Z_t, \hat{\sigma}_t) : \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} k_t = 0''$$

Then, required representation $\xi = Y_{\tau}^{Z, \Gamma}$, \mathcal{P} -q.s. is **equivalent to**

$$\xi = Y_0 + \int_0^{\tau} Z_t \cdot dX_t + H_t^*(Y_t, Z_t, \hat{\sigma}_t) dt - \int_0^{\tau} k_t dt, \quad \mathcal{P} - \text{q.s.}$$

\implies 2BSDE up to approximation of nondecreasing process $K = \int_0^{\cdot} k_t dt, \dots$

The controlled volatility case

- $H_t(\omega, y, z, \gamma)$ non-decreasing and convex in γ , the

$$H_t(\omega, y, z, \gamma) = \sup_{\sigma} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 : \gamma - H_t^*(\omega, y, z, \sigma) \right\}$$

- Let $\hat{\sigma}_t^2 := \frac{d\langle X \rangle}{dt}$, and introduce

$$k_t := H_t(Y_t, Z_t, \Gamma_t) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_t^2 : \Gamma_t + H_t^*(Y_t, Z_t, \hat{\sigma}_t) : \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} k_t = 0''$$

Then, required representation $\xi = Y_\tau^{Z, \Gamma}$, \mathcal{P} -q.s. is **equivalent to**

$$\xi = Y_0 + \int_0^\tau Z_t \cdot dX_t + H_t^*(Y_t, Z_t, \hat{\sigma}_t) dt - \int_0^\tau k_t dt, \quad \mathcal{P} - \text{q.s.}$$

\implies 2BSDE up to approximation of nondecreasing process $K = \int_0^\tau k_t dt \dots$

Wellposedness of random horizon 2nd order backward SDE

$$Y_{t \wedge \tau} = \xi + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} F_s(Y_s, Z_s, \hat{\sigma}_s) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} Z_s \cdot dX_s + \int_{t \wedge \tau}^{\tau} dK_s, \quad \mathcal{P} - \text{q.s.}$$

K non-decreasing, and $\inf_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\int_{s \wedge \tau}^{t \wedge \tau} dK_r \right] = 0, s \leq t$

Theorem (Y. Lin , Z. Ren, NT & J. Yang '18)

Assume $\exists \rho > -\mu, q > 1 : \mathcal{E}^L[|e^{\rho\tau}\xi|^q] + \mathcal{E}^L[(\int_0^{\tau} |e^{\rho t} f_t^0|^2 ds)^{\frac{q}{2}}] < \infty$

Then, Random horizon 2BSDE has a unique solution (Y, Z) with

$$Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta, \tau}^p, \quad Z \in \mathcal{H}_{\eta, \tau}^p \quad \text{for all } \eta \in [-\mu, \rho), \quad p \in [1, q]$$

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\eta, \tau}^p}^p := \mathcal{E}^L \left[\sup_{t \leq \tau} |e^{\eta t} Y_t|^p \right], \quad \|Z\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\eta, \tau}^p}^p := \mathcal{E}^L \left[\left(\int_0^{\tau} |e^{\eta t} \hat{\sigma}_t^T Z_t|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right]$$

Extends Soner, NT & Zhang '12 and Possamai, Tan & Zhou '18

Closely connected to G-BSDE, Hu, Ji, Peng & Song '14

Mean field games

Consider a **croud of agents** in **MFG equilibrium** :

$$V_0^A(\mu, \xi) := \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} J(\mu, \xi, \mathbb{P}) = J(\mu, \xi, \hat{\mathbb{P}}_\xi^\mu)$$

where $J(\mathbb{P}, \mu, \xi) := \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[\xi(X) - \int_0^T c_t(\mu_t, \alpha_t, \beta_t) dt \right]$

and $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ is weak solution of controlled process :

$$\mathbb{P} \circ (X_0)^{-1} = \mu_0, \text{ and } dX_t = \sigma_t(X, \beta_t) [\lambda_t(X, \alpha_t) dt + dW_t^\mathbb{P}], \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Definition (Mean field game equilibrium)

$\hat{\mu}$ is an MFG equilibrium if $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\xi^{\hat{\mu}} \circ (X_t)^{-1} = \hat{\mu}_t$, for all $t \leq T$

P.L. Lions' Planning Problem

- uncontrolled diffusion $\sigma_t(\beta) = I_d$
- Markov setting : $\lambda_t(\omega) = \lambda_t(\omega_t)$, c..., and $\xi(\omega) = g(\omega_T)$

Planning Problem

- Let μ_0, ν be given probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d
- Find $g : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ so that

MFG equilibrium $\hat{\mu}$ exists and $\hat{\mu}_0 = \mu_0, \hat{\mu}_T = \nu$

Interpretation : optimal transport, regulation

Start from croud distributed as μ_0 . Choose an appropriate incentive cost $g : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ so as to force the MFG equilibrium to the target distribution ν at time T .

\implies Unique solution exists for any pair (μ, ν) ...

Lions '10, Achdou Y, Camilli F & Capuzzo Dolcetta '12, Porretta '14

Path-dependent formulation of the Planning Problem

Allow the incentive cost ξ to be path-dependent

Path-dependent Planning Problem

- Let μ_0, ν be given probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d
- Find $\xi : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, \mathcal{F}_T -measurable, so that

MFG equilibrium $\hat{\mu}$ exists and $\hat{\mu}_0 = \mu_0$, $\hat{\mu}_T = \nu$

- More freedom for the choice of incentive regulation
- Multiple solutions, in general

MFG equilibria with varying path-dependent cost ξ

Forward description of MFG equilibria

For all controls (Z, Γ) , let $\xi^{Z, \Gamma} := Y_T^{Z, \Gamma}$, where $Y^{Z, \Gamma}$ is defined by the McKean-Vlasov controlled process

$$dY_t^{Z, \Gamma} = Z_t \cdot dX_t + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_t : d\langle X \rangle_t - H_t(Y_t^{Z, \Gamma}, Z_t, \Gamma_t, \mu_t)$$

$\mu_t = \mathbb{P} \circ X_t^{-1}$ distribution of X_t with controls defined as **maximizers of H**

$$dX_t = \nabla_z H_t(\cdots) dt + [2 \nabla_\gamma H_t(\cdots)]^{1/2} dW_t$$

- Multiple solutions, in general
- Skorohod embedding problem is a particular case :
 - planning exists iff $\mu_0 \preceq \nu$ in convex order
 - Many solutions exist... sometimes corresponding to various optimization criteria !

Optimal Planning Problem

MFG transport plans from μ_0 to ν in $\text{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

- $\Xi(\mu_0, \nu) : \xi \in \Lambda^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T)$ s.t. there exists an MFG equilibrium μ satisfying $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\xi^\mu \circ (X_T)^{-1} = \nu$
- Given the planner criterion $\phi : \Omega \times \Lambda^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, solve

$$V^P := \sup_{\xi \in \Xi(\mu_0, \nu)} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}_\xi^\mu} [\phi(X, \xi(X))]$$

Theorem (Z. Ren, X. Tan & NT)

Planner problem can be restricted to forward MV transport plans

$$V^P = \sup_{Z, \Gamma: \hat{\mathbb{P}}^{Z, \Gamma} \circ (X_T)^{-1} = \nu} \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{Z, \Gamma}} [\phi(X, Y_T^{Z, \Gamma})]$$

BON ANNIVERSAIRE NICOLE

Nonlinear expectation operators

\mathcal{P}^0 : subset of local martingale measures, i.e.

$$dX_t = \sigma_t dW_t, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s. for all } \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}^0$$

\Rightarrow Nonlinear expectation

$$\mathcal{E} := \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}^0} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}$$

Similarly, \mathcal{P}^L : subset of measures \mathbb{Q}^λ such that

$$dX_t = \sigma_t(\lambda_t dt + dW_t), \quad \mathbb{Q} - \text{a.s. for some } \lambda, \mathbb{F} - \text{adapted}, |\lambda| \leq L$$

\Rightarrow Another nonlinear expectation

$$\mathcal{E}^L := \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}^L} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}$$

\mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}^L will play the role of **Sobolev norms**...

Nonlinearity

Assumptions on $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}_+^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

(C1_L) Lipschitz in $(y, \sigma z)$:

$$|F(., y, z, \sigma) - F(., y', z', \sigma)| \leq L (|y - y'| + |\sigma(z - z')|)$$

(C2_μ) Monotone in y :

$$(y - y') \cdot [F(., y, .) - F(., y', .)] \leq -\mu |y - y'|^2$$

Denote $f_t^0 := F_t(0, 0, \hat{\sigma}_t)$

Remark Deterministic finite horizon $\tau = T$: (C2)_μ not needed
Soner, NT & Zhang '14 and Possamaï, Tan & Zhou '16

Nonlinearity

Assumptions on $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}_+^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

(C1_L) Lipschitz in $(y, \sigma z)$:

$$|F(., y, z, \sigma) - F(., y', z', \sigma)| \leq L (|y - y'| + |\sigma(z - z')|)$$

(C2 _{μ}) Monotone in y :

$$(y - y') \cdot [F(., y, .) - F(., y', .)] \leq -\mu |y - y'|^2$$

Denote $f_t^0 := F_t(0, 0, \hat{\sigma}_t)$

Remark Deterministic finite horizon $\tau = T$: (C2) _{μ} not needed
Soner, NT & Zhang '14 and Possamaï, Tan & Zhou '16