

On the “blue death” and Poisson measure

Sarah Kaakäi

Laboratoire Manceau de Mathématiques, Le Mans Université

Conférence en l'honneur des 3x25 ans de Nicole El Karoui

Longevity risk and heterogeneity

- ▶ Population ageing and uncertainty around future longevity development:
 - ↳ Sustainability of public pension systems, longevity risk management for insurers and pension fund...
- ▶ **Diverging trends** in longevity: **increasing of socioeconomic and geographical gaps in health and mortality.**
 - ↳ “Unfair” redistribution properties of pensions systems, errors in funding of annuity and pension obligation...

- ▶ **Standard tools:** **Data and mortality rates models**

Decisive for evaluating pension reforms, computation of regulatory capital, pricing longevity financial products...

- ▶ Observed mortality is a **by-product of the population dynamics** (not taken into account in standard models):
 - ↳ Result of complex demographic and social mechanisms.

Limitations of only studying age-specific mortality rates:

- 1 Relationship between **macro environment** and longevity improvement.
- 2 Understanding the impact of the population and its heterogeneity:
 - ↳ **Aggregation issues.**

Modeling the macro environment

- ▶ Major role of **public health measures** in the historical reduction of mortality.
 - Ex: water purification in the 1900-30s, campaigns against smoking...
 - ▶ Complex underlying mechanisms: not smooth, delays, interplay with social and political context...
-

Modeling the macro environment

- ▶ Major role of **public health measures** in the historical reduction of mortality.
 - Ex: water purification in the 1900-30s, campaigns against smoking...
- ▶ Complex underlying mechanisms: not smooth, delays, interplay with social and political context...

The example of cholera epidemics in England and France over the 19th century

Modeling the macro environment

- ▶ Major role of **public health measures** in the historical reduction of mortality.
 - Ex: water purification in the 1900-30s, campaigns against smoking...
 - ▶ Complex underlying mechanisms: not smooth, delays, interplay with social and political context...
-

The example of cholera epidemics in England and France over the 19th century

- ▶ Cholera: waterborne acute infection nicknamed “blue-death”.
- ▶ Four major outbreaks in Europe over the 19th century (1830-90) causing
 - Tens of thousands of deaths.
 - In 1832: Death of 2% of Paris inhabitants.

Modeling the macro environment

- ▶ Intensity crisis \Rightarrow catalyst of public health measures
 - First regulation of water supply companies, development of sewage systems, health education...
 - First international meeting of health.
 - ▶ Different consequences depending on political context and population sizes.
 - ▶ Delayed impact on Mortality
 - More than 10 international conferences over 50 years (1851-1903) to take action.
 - Later (but major) impact on the increase in the duration of life.
-

Impact of economic growth

- ▶ Bidirectional (and controversial) relationship between mortality decline and economic growth.

Birth Death Swap model

Goal: study stochastic heterogeneous population dynamics including

- ▶ General random environment,
- ▶ Important changes of composition.

Model

- ▶ Population process $Z = (Z^i)_{i=1..p}$ structured by discrete subgroups
filtration.
- ▶ Population evolves according to demographic events (birth, death) or
changes of characteristics (swap).
- ▶ Random environment \Rightarrow stochastic event intensities:

$$P(\text{ ev of type } \gamma \in]t, t + dt] | \mathcal{G}_t) \simeq \mu^\gamma(\omega, t, Z_t) dt.$$

Standard framework:

- ▶ Continuous Time Markov Chain: $P(\text{ev } \gamma \in]t, t + dt][\mathcal{G}_t) \simeq \mu^\gamma(Z_t)dt.$
 - ▶ Extension when $\mu(t, \cdot) = (\mu^\gamma(t, \cdot))$ is \mathcal{G}_0 -measurable.
 - ▶ Event intensities characterize the distribution of the population process (martingale problem viewpoint).
-

Standard framework:

- ▶ Continuous Time Markov Chain: $P(\text{ev } \gamma \in]t, t + dt][\mathcal{G}_t) \simeq \mu^\gamma(Z_t)dt.$
- ▶ Extension when $\mu(t, \cdot) = (\mu^\gamma(t, \cdot))$ is \mathcal{G}_0 -measurable.
- ▶ Event intensities characterize the distribution of the population process (martingale problem viewpoint).

This is not the case here

- ▶ Each type of event (birth, death, swap) $\gamma \in \mathcal{J}$ is associated with the counting process:

$$N_t^\gamma = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \mathbb{1}_{\{\Delta Z_s = \phi(\gamma)\}} \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Pathwise representation of the jump measure $\mathbf{N} = (N^\gamma)_{\gamma \in \mathcal{J}}$ (in the spirit of Bremaud Massoulié (1998))

- **Thinning** and projection of extended Poisson measure on random sets:

$$\mathbf{N}_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathbb{1}_{\{\theta \leq \mu(s, Z_{s-})\}} \mathbf{Q}(ds, d\theta), \quad Z_t = F(Z_0, \mathbf{N}_t). \quad (2)$$

Pathwise representation and strong domination

- **Thinning** and projection of extended Poisson measure on random sets:

$$\mathbf{N}_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathbb{1}_{\{\theta \leq \mu(s, Z_{s-})\}} \mathbf{Q}(ds, d\theta), \quad Z_t = F(Z_0, \mathbf{N}_t). \quad (2)$$

- **Existence of non-explosive solutions:** control birth intensities

$$\mu^b(\omega, t, z) \leq k_t \mathbf{g}(z^{\natural}), \quad (3)$$

with \mathbf{g} verifying $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\sum g^i(n)} = \infty$.

Proposition

*There exists a unique well-defined solution \mathbf{N} of (2), **strongly dominated** by a multivariate counting process \mathbf{G} : $\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{N}$ is a multivariate counting process.*

Population with two time-scales

Study of the population evolution when composition changes occurs at a fast pace in comparison with the demographic scale.

- ▶ Also applications in ecology (ex: viability in patchy environment).

Population with two time-scales

Study of the population evolution when composition changes occurs at a fast pace in comparison with the demographic scale.

- ▶ Also applications in ecology (ex: viability in patchy environment).
- ▶ **Hyp**: intensity of swap events $\sim O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}) \gg$ demographic events $\sim O(1)$

$$d\mathbf{N}_t^{s,\epsilon} = \mathbf{Q}^s(dt, [0, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mu^s(t, Z_{t-}^\epsilon)]), \quad d\mathbf{N}_t^{\text{dem},\epsilon} = \mathbf{Q}^{\text{dem}}(dt, [0, \mu^{\text{dem}}(t, Z_{t-}^\epsilon)]).$$

Population with two time-scales

Study of the population evolution when composition changes occurs at a fast pace in comparison with the demographic scale.

- ▶ Also applications in ecology (ex: viability in patchy environment).
- ▶ **Hyp**: intensity of **swap events** $\sim O(\frac{1}{\epsilon}) \gg$ **demographic events** $\sim O(1)$

$$d\mathbf{N}_t^{s,\epsilon} = \mathbf{Q}^s(dt, [0, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mu^s(t, Z_{t-}^\epsilon)]), \quad d\mathbf{N}_t^{\text{dem},\epsilon} = \mathbf{Q}^{\text{dem}}(dt, [0, \mu^{\text{dem}}(t, Z_{t-}^\epsilon)]).$$

What can we say about the aggregated population?

$$Z_t^{\mathfrak{h},\epsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^p Z_t^i = F(Z_0, \mathbf{N}_t^{\text{dem},\epsilon}),$$

Aggregated birth and death intensities:

$$\mu^{b,\mathfrak{h}}(t, Z_t) = \sum_{i=1}^p \mu^{b,i}(t, Z_t), \quad \mu^{d,\mathfrak{h}}(t, Z_t) = \sum_{i=1}^p \mu^{d,i}(t, Z_t)$$

Pure swap processes X of \mathcal{G}_t -intensity μ^s :

- ▶ Population with **NO** demographic events.
- ▶ **Constant size**: $X_0^{\natural} = d \Rightarrow X_t = d$ ($X \in \mathcal{U}_d$, populations of size d).

$$f(X_t) - \underbrace{\int_0^t \sum_{i \neq j} (f(X_s + \mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{e}_i) - f(X_s)) \mu^{(i,j)}(s, X_s) ds}_{L_s^{\text{sw}} f(X_s)} \text{ is a } (\mathcal{G}_t) \text{ (local) martingale.}$$

Assumption There exists a unique $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ -measurable random kernel $(\Gamma(\omega, t, n, dz))$ such that $\forall n \geq 0, t \geq 0$ and $f : \mathbb{N}^p \mapsto \mathbb{R}$:

$$\Gamma(t, n, L_t^{\text{sw}} f \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{U}_n}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}_n} L_t^{\text{sw}} f(z) \Gamma(t, n, dz) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Averaging result

Theorem (partial)

Under Assumption 4, the **aggregated processes** $Z^{\epsilon, \mathfrak{h}}$ converge in distribution to a *BD process* $\bar{Z}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ of intensity:

$$\lambda^b(t, \bar{Z}_t^{\mathfrak{h}}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}_{\bar{Z}_t^{\mathfrak{h}}}} \mu^{b, \mathfrak{h}}(t, z) \Gamma(t, \bar{Z}_t^{\mathfrak{h}}, dz), \quad \lambda^d(t, \bar{Z}_t^{\mathfrak{h}}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}_{\bar{Z}_t^{\mathfrak{h}}}} \mu^{d, \mathfrak{h}}(t, z) \Gamma(t, \bar{Z}_t^{\mathfrak{h}}, dz).$$

- ▶ Main problem: stochastic intensity functional $\mu(\omega, t, \cdot)$ (no regularity)
 \Rightarrow extension of existing results (**Kurtz (1992)**, **Yin and Zhang (2004)**).
- ▶ Tools: strong domination construction and stable convergence.

Thank you for you attention ...

Thank you for you attention ...
and Happy birthday Nicole (and please
be on time) !