

Existence and optimality conditions in stochastic mean-field optimal control

Brahim Mezerdi

Mathematics and Statistics Department
King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals
Saudi Arabia

Conference in honor of Nicole El Karoui
Sorbonne Université, Campus Jussieu

May 21-24 , 2019

SUMMARY

- ▶ Introduction to mean-field games
- ▶ Optimal control of mean-field systems
- ▶ Existence of optimal controls
- ▶ The stochastic maximum principle
- ▶ References

Introduction

- ▶ **Game theory** is "the study of mathematical models of conflict and cooperation between intelligent rational decision-makers.
- ▶ **Game theory** is mainly used in economics, political science, and psychology, as well as logic, computer science, biology etc...
- ▶ **Existence** of mixed-strategy equilibria in two-person zero-sum games has been proved by **John Von Neumann**, using Brouwer fixed-point theorem (**Theory of Games and Economic Behavior**, J. Von Neuman and Oskar Morgenstern.)

Introduction

- ▶ For general games, the Nash equilibrium is a solution involving two or more players, in which each player is assumed to know the equilibrium strategies of the other players, and *no player has anything to gain by changing only his or her own strategy.*
- ▶ J. F. Nash has proved existence of a stable equilibrium in the space of **mixed strategies**.
- ▶ J. F. Nash: **Nobel prize** in Economics (1994) and **Abel Prize** in Mathematics(2015), with **21 published papers!!!!**.

Introduction

Nash equilibria in classical differential games

Let S_1, \dots, S_N be compact metric spaces, J_1, \dots, J_N be continuous real valued functions on $\prod_{i=1}^N S_i$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(S_i)$ the compact metric space of all Borel probability measures defined on S_i .

Definition. A Nash equilibrium in mixed strategies is a N -tuple

$(\bar{\pi}_1, \bar{\pi}_2, \dots, \bar{\pi}_N) \in \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{P}(S_i)$ such that, for any $i = 1, \dots, N$:

$J_i(\bar{\pi}_1, \bar{\pi}_2, \dots, \bar{\pi}_N) \leq J_i((\bar{\pi}_j)_{j \neq i}, \pi_i)$ for every $\pi_i \in \mathcal{P}(S_i)$,
where

$$J_i(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_N) = \int_{S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_N} J_i(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N) d\pi_1(s_1) d\pi_2(s_2) \dots d\pi_N(s_N)$$

Introduction

Remark. *Note that last condition is equivalent to*

$$J_i(\bar{\pi}_1, \bar{\pi}_2, \dots, \bar{\pi}_N) \leq J_i((\bar{\pi}_j)_{j \neq i}, s_i) \text{ for every } s_i \in S_i.$$

Theorem (Nash, 1950) *Under the above assumptions, there exists at least one equilibrium point in mixed strategies.*

Theorem (Symmetric games) *If the game is symmetric, then there is an equilibrium of the form $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{\pi}, \dots, \bar{\pi})$, where $\bar{\pi} \in P(S)$ is a mixed strategy.*

The game is symmetric if $S_i = S$ and

$$J_{\sigma(i)}(s_{\sigma(1)}, s_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, s_{\sigma(N)}) = J(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$$

Introduction

The N Player Game.

Consider a stochastic differential game with N players, each player controlling his own private state X_t^i

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^i = b(t, X_t^i, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^i}, u_t^i) dt + \sigma(t, X_t^i, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^i}, u_t^i) dW_t^i \\ X_0 = x. \end{cases}$$

$$J^i(u^i) = E \left(\int_0^T h(t, X_t^i, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^i}, u^i) dt + g(X_T^i, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_T^i}) \right)$$

(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^N) is a Nash equilibrium if $\forall 1 \leq i \leq N, \forall v \in \mathbb{A}$,

$$J^i(u^1, u^2, \dots, u^i, \dots, u^N) \leq J^i(u^1, u^2, \dots, v, \dots, u^N)$$

Introduction

Difficulties:

- ▶ Solve the HJB equation for large games.
- ▶ Numerical computations due to the dimension of the system.

When the number of players tends to infinity, can we expect some form of **averaging**?

**The answer is given by the MEAN-FIELD GAME (MFG) THEORY
invented by PL. Lions and J. M. Lasry**

The MFG theory is to search for **approximate Nash equilibriums** in the case of small players.

Introduction

Remarks

- ▶ By small player, we mean a player who has very little influence on the overall system.
- ▶ This theory has been recently developed by J.-M. Lasry and P.-L. Lions in a series of papers (2006) and presented through several lectures (vidéos) by P.-L. Lions at the **College de France** 2008-2009.
- ▶ Its name comes from the analogy with the mean-field models in mathematical physics which analyzes the behavior of many identical particles (see Sznitman's lecture notes at Ecole d'été de Saint-Flour, Springer 1989).
- ▶ Related ideas have been developed independently, and at about the same time, by Huang-Caines-Malhamé (2006) in engineering.

Introduction

MFG solution can be resumed in the following steps

(i) Fix a deterministic function $\mu_t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

(ii) Solve the standard stochastic control problem

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = b(t, X_t, \mu_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t^i, \mu_t, u_t)dW_t \\ \inf_{a \in \mathbb{A}} E \left(\int_0^T h(t, X_t, \mu_t, u)dt + g(X_T, \mu_T) \right) \end{cases}$$

(iii) Determine μ_t so that $P_{X_t} = \mu_t$.

If the fixed-point optimal control identified is in feedback form, u_t

$= \alpha(t; X_t; P_{X_t})$ for some function α , then

if the players use this strategy $u_t^i = \alpha(t; X_t^i; P_{X_t})$, then $(u_t^1, u_t^2, \dots, u_t^N)$ should form an approximate Nash equilibrium.

Introduction

The solution of this problem is resumed in a coupled system of PDEs:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t; x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \Delta_x v(t; x) + H(t, x, \mu_t, \nabla_x v(t, x), \alpha(t, x, \mu_t, \nabla_x v(t, x))) = 0 \\ \partial_t \mu_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \Delta_x \mu_t + \operatorname{div}_x (b(t, x, \mu_t, \nabla_x v(t, x), \alpha(t, x, \mu_t, \nabla_x v(t, x))) \mu_t) = 0 \\ v(T; \cdot) = g(\cdot; \mu_T); \mu_0 = \delta_{x_0} \end{cases}$$



Huang, M., Malhamé, R. P., Caines, P. E. (2006). *Large population stochastic dynamic games: closed-loop McKean-Vlasov systems and the nash certainty equivalence principle*. Comm. in Inf. and Systems, **6**(3), 221–252.



J.M. Lasry, P.L. Lions, *Mean-field games*. Japan. J. Math., **2** (2007) 229–260.

Introduction

The probabilistic method is based on solving " **forward backward stochastic differential equations**" and allows one to handle non Markovian models.



Carmona, R., Delarue, F., Probabilistic theory of mean field games with applications. I. Mean field FBSDEs, control, and games. *Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling*, **83**. Springer, Cham, 2018.

Optimal control of MFSDEs

Optimal control of a mean-field SDE (MFSDE)

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = b(t, X_t, E(\Psi(X_t)), u_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t, E(\Phi(X_t)), u_t)dW_t \\ X_0 = x. \end{cases}$$

The coefficients depend on the state X_t and on its distribution via a quantity $E(\Psi(X_t))$, called: **mean-field term**. Minimize

$$J(u) = E \left(\int_0^T h(t, X_t, E(\varphi(X_t)), u_t)dt + g(X_T, E(\lambda(X_T))) \right)$$

over a set of admissible controls \mathcal{U}_{ad} .

A control \hat{u} is optimal if $J(\hat{u}) = \inf \{J(u); u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}\}$.

Optimal control of MFSDEs

The state equation (**MFSDE**) is obtained as a limit of systems of interacting particles.

Lemma

Let $(X_t^{i,n})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, defined by

$$dX_t^{i,n} = b(t, X_t^{i,n}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_t^{i,n}), u_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t^{i,n}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi(X_t^{i,n}), u_t) dW_t^i$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E \left(\left| X_t^{i,n} - X_t^i \right|^2 \right) = 0$, where (X_t^i) are independent and solutions of the same MFSDE.



B. Jourdain, S. Méléard, W. Woyczynski, *Nonlinear SDEs driven by Lévy processes and related PDEs*. Alea **4**, 1–29 (2008).



A.S. Sznitman, *Topics in propagation of chaos*. In Ecole de Probabilités de Saint Flour, XIX-1989. LN 1464, Springer, Berlin (1989).

Optimal control of MFSDEs

Applications to various fields:

- ▶ Allocation of economic resources.
- ▶ Exploitation of exhaustible resources, such as oil.
- ▶ Finance with small investors.
- ▶ Movement of large populations.

Optimal control of MFSDEs

Example

"Mean-Variance Portfolio Selection"

Consider a financial market : S_t^1 (risky asset) and a bond S_t^0 (bank account) :

$$\begin{cases} dS_t^0 = \rho_t S_t^0 dt \\ dS_t^1 = \alpha_t S_t^1 dt + \sigma_t S_t^1 dW_t \end{cases}$$

If u_t is the proportion invested in S_t^1 , then the value of the portfolio satisfies :

$$dX_t = (\rho_t X_t + (\alpha_t - \rho_t) u_t) dt + \sigma_t u_t dW_t, X_0 = x$$

Minimize the cost functional:

$$J(u) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \text{Var}(X_T) - E(X_T) = \frac{\gamma}{2} (E(X_T^2) - E(X_T)^2) - E(X_T).$$

Existence of optimal controls

The state equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = b(t, X_t, E(\Psi(X_t)), u_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t, E(\Phi(X_t)), u_t)dW_t \\ X_0 = x. \end{cases}$$

and the cost functional:

$$J(u) = E \left[\int_0^T h(t, X_t, E(\varphi(X_t)), u_t)dt + g(X_T, E(\lambda(X_T))) \right]$$

Without additional convexity conditions existence of a optimal strict control is not guaranteed. The idea is then to use **relaxed controls** which are measure valued controls.

Existence of optimal controls

Let \mathbb{V} be the set of product measures μ on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{A}$ whose projection on $[0, T]$ coincides with the Lebesgue measure dt . \mathbb{V} is compact for the topology of weak convergence.

Definition

A relaxed control on the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ is a random variable $\mu = dt \cdot \mu_t(da)$ with values in \mathbb{V} , such that $\mu_t(da)$ is progressively measurable with respect to (\mathcal{F}_t) and such that for each t , $1_{(0,t]} \cdot \mu$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

Remark

The set \mathcal{U}_{ad} of strict controls is embedded into the set of relaxed controls by identifying u_t with $dt\delta_{u_t}(da)$.



El Karoui, N., Nguyen, D.H., Jeanblanc-Picqué, M., Compactification methods in the control of degenerate diffusions: existence of an optimal control, *Stochastics*, 20 (1987), No. 3, 169-219.

Existence of optimal controls

It was proved in [El Karoui and Méléard] that the relaxed state process corresponding to a relaxed control must satisfy a MFSDE driven by a martingale measure instead of a Brownian motion.

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \int_{\mathbb{A}} b(t, X_t, E(\Psi(X_t)) a) \mu_t(da) dt \\ \quad + \int_{\mathbb{A}} \sigma(t, X_t, E(\Phi(X_t), a) M(da, dt), \\ X_0 = x \end{cases}$$

where $M(dt, da)$ is continuous orthogonal martingale measure with intensity $\mu_t(da)dt$.

The relaxed cost is given:

$$J(\mu) = E \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{A}} h(t, X_t, E(\varphi(X_t), a) \mu_t(da) dt + g(X_T, E(\lambda(X_T))) \right)$$



N. El Karoui, S. Méléard, *Martingale measures and stochastic calculus*, Probab. Th. and Rel. Fields **84** (1990), no. 1, 83–101.

Existence of optimal controls

Theorem

Under (\mathbf{H}_1) et (\mathbf{H}_2) , an optimal relaxed control exists.

Steps of the proof

- ▶ Let $(\mu^n)_{n \geq 0}$ a minimizing sequence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(\mu^n) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{R}} J(\mu)$ and let X^n the state associated to μ^n .
- ▶ We prove that (μ^n, M^n, X^n) is tight.
- ▶ Using Skorokhod theorem, there exist a subsequence which converges strongly to $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{M}, \hat{X})$, satisfying the state equation.
- ▶ Prove that $(J(\mu^n))_n$ converges to $J(\hat{\mu}) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{R}} J(\mu)$ and conclude that $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{M}, \hat{X})$ is optimal.

Existence of optimal controls

Corollary

Assume that

$$P(t, X_t) = \left\{ \left(\tilde{b}(t, X_t, E(\Psi(X_t), a)) \right); a \in \mathbb{A} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+d^2+1}$$

is closed and convex, $\tilde{b} = (b, \sigma\sigma^*, h)$. Then the optimal relaxed control is realized as a strict control.

The stochastic maximum principle

The state equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \int_A b(t, X_t, E(X_t), a) \mu_t(da) dt + \int_A \sigma(t, X_t, E(X_t), a) M(dt, da) \\ X_0 = x, \end{cases}$$

The cost functional

$$J(\mu) = E \left[\int_0^T \int_A h(t, X_t, E(X_t), a) \mu_t(da) dt + g(X_T, E(X_T)) \right].$$

The stochastic maximum principle

An optimal relaxed control exists. We derive necessary conditions for optimality in the form of Pontryagin maximum principle.

Let μ be an optimal relaxed control and X the optimal state.

The necessary conditions are given by

- ▶ two adjoint processes,
- ▶ a variational inequality.

The stochastic maximum principle

Assume
(H₁)

$$\begin{aligned} b &: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \sigma &: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

are bounded, continuous, such that $b(t, \cdot, \cdot, a)$ and $\sigma(t, \cdot, \cdot, a)$ are C^2 in (x, y) . Assume that the derivatives of order 1 and 2 are bounded continuous in (x, y, a) .

(H₂)

$$\begin{aligned} h &: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ g &: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the same hypothesis as b and σ .

The stochastic maximum principle

Define the first and second order adjoint processes :

$$\begin{cases} dp(t) = - [\bar{b}_x(t)p(t) + E(\bar{b}_y(t)p(t)) + \bar{\sigma}_x(t)q(t) + E(\bar{\sigma}_y(t)q(t)) \\ \quad - \bar{h}_x(t) - E(\bar{h}_y(t))] dt + q(t)dW_t + dM_t \\ p(T) = -\bar{g}_x(T) - E(\bar{g}_y(T)) \\ \begin{cases} dP(t) = - [2\bar{b}_x(t)P(t) + \bar{\sigma}_x^2(t)P(t) + 2\bar{\sigma}_x(t)Q(t) + \bar{H}_{xx}(t)]dt \\ \quad + Q(t)dW_t + dN_t \\ P(T) = -\bar{g}_{xx}(x(T)) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$\bar{f}(t) = f(t, X(t), \mu(t)) = \int_A f(t, X(t), a) \mu(t, da)$ and f stands for b_x , σ_x , h_x , b_y , σ_y , h_y , H_{xx}

The stochastic maximum principle

Denote the generalized Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{(X(\cdot), \mu(\cdot))}(t, Y, E(Y), a) = \\ H(t, Y, E(Y), a, p(t), q(t) - P(t) \cdot \sigma(t, X_t, E(X_t), \mu(t))) \\ - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, Y, E(Y), a) P(t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, X, E(X), a, p(t), q(t)) = \\ b(t, X, E(X), u) \cdot p + \sigma(t, X, E(X), u) \cdot q - h(t, X, E(X), u) \end{aligned}$$

is the usual Hamiltonian.

The stochastic maximum principle

Theorem

(The relaxed maximum principle)

Let (μ, X) an optimal couple, then there exist (p, q) et (P, Q) , solutions of adjoint equations s.t

$$E \int_0^T \mathcal{H}^{(X(t), \mu(t))}(t, X(t), \mu(t)) dt = \sup_{a \in A} E \int_0^T \mathcal{H}^{(X(t), \mu(t))}(t, X(t), a) dt$$

The stochastic maximum principle

Idea of the proof

- ▶ Step1
- ▶ Approximate the optimal relaxed control $\mu_t(da) dt$ by a sequence (u_t^n) , such that $(\delta_{u_t^n}(da) dt)$ converges in \mathbb{V} to $\mu_t(da) dt$, $P - a.s.$
- ▶ (M^n) converges to M .
- ▶ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t^n - X_t|^2 \right] = 0.$
- ▶ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(u^n) = J(\mu)$

The stochastic maximum principle

- ▶ Step 2: The controls u_t^n are nearly optimal. Apply Ekeland's variational principle and Buckdahn-Djehiche-Li maximum principle [3], to derive necessary conditions for near optimality.





$$E \left(\int_0^T \mathcal{H}^{(X^n(t), u^n(t))}(t, X^n(t), u^n(t)) dt \right) \geq \sup_{a \in A} E \left(\int_0^T \mathcal{H}^{(X^n(t), u^n(t))}(t, X^n(t), a) dt \right) - \varepsilon^{1/3}$$

- ▶ Etape3: Pass to the limit in the state equation, the adjoint equations and the Hamiltonians.






El Karoui, N.; Peng, S.; Quenez, M. C. Backward stochastic differential equations in finance. Math. Finance 7 (1997), no. 1, 1–71





References

-  S. Bahlali, B. Djehiche, B. Mezerdi, *Approximation and optimality necessary conditions in relaxed stochastic control problems*. J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal., Vol. **2006**, Article ID 72762, 1–23.
-  A. Bensoussan, J. Frehse, P. Yam, *Mean-field games and mean-field type control theory*(2013), Springer.
-  R. Buckdahn, B. Djehiche, J. Li, *A general stochastic maximum principle for SDEs of mean-field type*. Appl. Math. Optim. **64** (2011), no. 2, 197–216.
-  N. El Karoui, S. Méléard, *Martingale measures and stochastic calculus*, PTRF **84** (1990), no. 1, 83–101.

References

-  S. Bahlali, B. Mezerdi, Necessary conditions for optimality in relaxed stochastic control problems. Stoch. Stoch. Rep. 73 (2002), no. 3-4, 201–218.
-  B. Jourdain, S. Méléard, W. Woyczynski, *Nonlinear SDEs driven by Lévy processes and related PDEs*. Alea **4**, 1–29 (2008).
-  J.M. Lasry, P.L. Lions, *Mean-field games*. Japan. J. Math., **2** (2007) 229–260.

References

-  S. Bahlali, B. Djehiche, B. Mezerdi, The relaxed stochastic maximum principle in singular optimal control of diffusions. SIAM J. Control Optim. 46 (2007), no. 2, 427–444
-  K. Bahlali, M. Mezerdi, B. Mezerdi, On mean-field control problems I: Existence of optimal relaxed controls. Stoch. Dyn. (2016), Subm.
-  K. Bahlali, M. Mezerdi, B. Mezerdi, On mean-field control problems II: the stochastic maximum principle. Syst. and Cont. Lett. (2017), In Press.
-  K. Bahlali, M. Mezerdi, B. Mezerdi, Existence of optimal controls for systems governed by mean-field SDEs, Afrika Stat., Vol. 9, No 1 (2014), 627-645.

Thank you very much